Advisory Action

Application No.	aplicant(s)		
10/046,164	LAMPKINS, GARY W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anthony Stashick	3728		

- \	10/040,704	Dam Kino, GAKI	**.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony Stashick	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	-	in the final nationalism wh	daharra ta tatan da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		i be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	,		nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
I3. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Anthony Stashick	shirt
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728	





Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the references applied are rigid and not flexible is not persuasive. Each of the references applied clearly show flexible portions (those portions wheere the "folding over" occurs in the reference, typically known as a "flexible hinge".) Furthermore, it appears applicant is arguing more than that which is claimed.

Continuation of 13. Other: The remarks filed by applicant on February 7, 2006 in response to the Office Action dated 4/10/03, in attempt to revive the application has been considered to be non-responsive with respect to the Office action. Applicant has failed to file a response that is responsive to each and every rejection set forth in the Office action dated 4/10/03 (i.e. no response to the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection). It is noted to applicant that none of the amendments filed, to date, have been entered. Therefore, only original claims 1 and 2 are currently active in the application. If applicant intends to amend the claims or add any new claims, applicant would need to follow the guidelines set forth in 37 CFR 1.111, including a reply to each and every rejection set forth in the Office action. . .